

Application: Inductively Defined Sets

Prof. Dr. K. Madlener: Specification and Verification in Higher Order Logic

3

Defining sets inductively

Defining sets inductively: Repetition

SessionSlides6.1 starting slide 23

- Rule induction
- Demo inductively defined sets
- Inductive predicates
- Demo

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Transition systems

Definition 7.1. TS

A transition system (TS) is a pair (Q,T) consisting of

a set Q of states;

• a binary relation $T \subset (Q * Q)$, usually called the transition relation (Other names: state transition system, unlabeled transition system)

Definition 7.2. LTS

A labeled transition system (LTS) over Act is a pair (Q,T) consisting of

- a set Q of states;
- A ternary relation T ⊂ (Q * Act * Q), usually called the transition relation, transitions written as q1 -l-> q2

Act is called the set of actions.

Transition systems (cont.)

Remark 7.3.

- The action labels express input, output, or an "explanation" of an internal state change.
- Finite automata are LTS.
- Often, transitions systems are equipped with a set of initial states or sets of initial and final states.
- ▶ Traces are sequences (qi) of states with $(qi, qi + 1) \in T$
- Behavior:: Set of traces beginning at initial states.
- Properties:: expressed in appropriate logic (PDL, CTL ...)

Lemma 7.4. Every LTS (Q, T) over Act can be expressed by a TS (Q', T') such that there is a mapping rep : $Q * Act \Rightarrow Q'$ with $q1 - l - > q2 \in T \iff \exists l' : (rep(q1, l'), rep(q2, l)) \in T'$

Proof: <exercise>

Modeling: Case study Elevator

Model of an elevator control system: Description

- Design the logic to move one lift between 3 floors satisfying:
- The lift has for each floor one button which, if pressed, causes the lift to visit that floor. It is cancelled when the lift visits the floor.
- Each floor has a button to request the lift. It is cancelled when the lift visits the floor.
- ► The lift remains in middle floor if no requests are pending.
- Properties
- All requests for floors from the lift must be serviced eventually.
- All requests from floors must be serviced eventually.

《曰》《聞》《臣》《臣》 三臣

Modeling: Case study Elevator

```
Datatypes and actions
```

```
datatype floor = F0 | F1 | F2
(* actions *)
datatype action = Call floor (* input message *)
               | GoTo floor (* input message *)
               Open
                     (* output message *)
               | Move (* internal message *)
(* types for elevator state *)
datatype direction = UP
                         DW
datatype door = CL | OP
(* elevator state *)
"action * floor * direction * door * (floor set)"
(* where | last move | open/closed | what to serve *)
```

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ 三 ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶

Datatypes and actions

(* transition relation *) inductive set tr :: "(state * state) set" where " $\langle 1brakk \rangle g \langle notin \rangle T; \langle not \rangle (f = g \langle and \rangle d = OP)$ \<rbrakk> \<Longrightarrow> ((a, f, r, d, T), (Call g, f, r, d, T \<union> { g })) \<in> tr" $| " \leq brakk > g \leq notin > T; \leq not > (f = g \leq and > d = OP$) <rbrakk> <Longrightarrow> ((a, f, r, d, T), (GoTo g, f, r, d, T \<union> { g })) \<in> tr" | "f \<in> T \<Longrightarrow> ((a, f, r, d, T), (Open, f, r, OP, T - { f })) \<in> tr" | "((a, F1, r, d, { F0 }), (Move, F0, DW, CL, { F0 })) \<in> tr" | "((a, F1, r, d, { F2 }), (Move, F2, UP, CL, { F2 })) \<in> tr" | "F0 \<notin> T \<Longrightarrow> ((a, F0, r, d, T), (Move, F1, UP, CL, T)) $\langle in \rangle$ tr"

Datatypes and actions (cont.)

```
| "F2 \<notin> T \<Longrightarrow> ( (a, F2, r, d, T),
    (Move, F1, DW, CL, T) ) \langle in \rangle tr"
| "\<lbrakk> F1 \<notin> T; F2 \<in> T \<rbrakk> \<</pre>
   Longrightarrow> ( (a, F1, UP, d, T), (Move, F2, UP
   , CL, T) ) \<in> tr"
| "\<lbrakk> F1 \<notin> T; F0 \<in> T \<rbrakk> \<</pre>
   Longrightarrow> ( (a, F1, DW, d, T), (Move, F0, DW
   , CL, T) ) \<in> tr"
(* traces *)
types trace = "nat \<Rightarrow> state"
coinductive_set traces :: "trace set" where
"[| t \<in> traces; (s, t 0) \<in> tr |] ===>
(\<lambda>n. case n of 0 \<Rightarrow> s | Suc x \<
   Rightarrow> t x) \<in> traces"
```

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 三臣 - のへ(

Datatypes and actions (cont.)

```
(* Functions on traces *)
definition head :: "trace \<Rightarrow> state" where
  "head t \<equiv> t 0"
definition drp :: "trace \<Rightarrow> nat \<
   Rightarrow > trace" where
  "drp t n \<equiv> (\<lambda>x. t (n + x))"
lemma [iff]:
  "drp (drp t n) m = drp t (n + m)"
lemma drp_traces:
  "t \<in> traces ===> drp t n \<in> traces"
```

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ・三 つへの

Reasoning about finite transition systems

Logic for expressing properties of traces

- For every floor f: If f is a target floor, the elevator will eventually reach the floor and open the door.
- Always («To f» -> Finally («Op» and «At f»))
- ► ~→ Temporal logic. Here e.g. LTL
- Formulae built with Atoms, ¬, ∧, □, ◊
- Interpretations: Kripke structures (Q, I, T, L)
- ► A transition relation $T \subseteq Q * Q$ such that $\forall q \in Q. \exists q' \in Q. (q, q') \in T$
- ▶ a labeling (or interpretation) function $L: Q \rightarrow 2^{Atoms}$

< 口 > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Reasoning about finite transition systems

Remark 7.5.

- Since T is left-total, it is always possible to construct an infinite path through the Kripke structure. A deadlock state qd can be expressed by single outgoing edge back to qd itself.
- Labeling states (elevator)

```
datatype atom = Up | Op | At floor | To floor
```

```
fun L :: "state => atom => bool" where
"L (_, _, UP, _, _) Up = True" |
"L (_, _, DW, _, _) Up = False" |
"L (_, _, _, CL, _) Op = False" |
"L (_, _, _, OP, _) Op = True" |
"L (_, f, _, _, _) (At g) = (f = g)" |
"L (_, _, _, _, fs) (To f) = (f \<in> fs)"
```

(日)
 (日)

Reasoning about finite transition systems (cont.)

- The labeling function L defines for each state q in Q the set L(s) of all atomic propositions that are valid in s.
- Semantics of LTL

```
primrec valid ::
"trace => formula => bool" ("(_ |= _)" [80, 80]
    80) where
    "t |= Atom a = ( a \<in> L (head t) )"
    | "t |= Neg f = ( \<not> (t |= f) )"
    | "t |= And f g = ( t |= f \<and> t |= g )"
    | "t |= Always f = ( \<forall>n. drp t n |= f )"
    | "t |= Finally f = ( \<exists>n. drp t n |= f )"
```

»> Elevator.thy