Prof. Dr. K. Madlener Dipl.-Inf. P. Michel Dipl.-Inf. C. Feller

University of Kaiserslautern

Department of Computer Science AG Grundlagen der Informatik

Exercise Sheet 3: Specification and Verification with Higher-Order Logic (Summer Term 2011)

Date: 03.05.2011

Exercise 1 Foundations

- a) (Prepare!) What is the order of the following formulas?
 - $Suc(0) \neq 0$
 - $\forall n. \operatorname{Suc}(n) \neq 0$
 - $\forall n \ m. \ \operatorname{Suc}(n) = \operatorname{Suc}(m) \longrightarrow n = m$
 - $\bullet \ \, \forall P.\ P(0) \wedge \Big(\forall n.\ P(n) \longrightarrow P(\operatorname{Suc}(n)) \Big) \longrightarrow \forall n.\ P(n)$
- b) (<u>Prepare!</u>) Determine which of these terms are syntactically correct. For the correct terms give possible types for all occurring variables and the complete term.
 - $(\lambda x. \ x = a) \ b$
 - $(\lambda x = x)$
 - $(\lambda x. True) = (\lambda x. (f g x) = y)$
 - $\bullet \ (x \longrightarrow x) = (b \ b)$
- c) (Prepare!) Consider the following set of sets $U = \{\{1\}, \{1, 2\}\}$, which is not a universe. For each of the closure conditions violated by U, give an example set which should have been included in U.
- d) (Prepare!) Consider the standard model $M = \langle (D_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in \tau}, J \rangle$ for the set of types τ and constants defined in the lecture, where we consider the additional binary constant symbol $+:ind \Rightarrow ind \Rightarrow ind$. The frame $(D_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in \tau}$ is defined by $D_{bool} = \{T, F\}$, $D_{ind} = \mathbb{N}$ and $D_{\alpha \Rightarrow \beta} = D_{\alpha} \Rightarrow D_{\beta}$, i.e. the set of all functions from α to β . J interprets all constants as defined in the lecture and + as the usual addition on natural numbers. Consider the following formula:

$$a = b \longrightarrow (\lambda x.x + a) = (\lambda x.b + x)$$

- Prove that the formula is satisfiable with regard to M, by giving an assignment under which the formula evaluates to T.
- Is the formula valid with regard to M?
- Is the formula valid in a standard sense?

Exercise 2 Sets as Functions in Isabelle/HOL

We have seen that the core of Isabelle/HOL does not contain types for sets. One way of introducing sets is to use functions to represent sets.

- a) (Prepare!) Define the polymorphic type of sets as the type of unary predicate functions.
- b) (Prepare!) Define the following constants for this type:
 - 1. The empty set.
 - 2. The insert function on sets.
 - 3. The delete function on sets.
 - 4. The union on sets.
 - 5. The intersection on sets.
 - 6. The set of all even integers.

Exercise 3 Datatypes and Properties in Isabelle/HOL

- a) Define a datatype 'a tree to represent binary trees. Leaves should be Empty and internal nodes should store a value of type 'a.
- b) Define the functions preOrder, postOrder and inOrder that traverse and convert a binary tree to a list in the respective order.
- c) Define a function mirror that returns the mirror image of a binary tree.
- d) Define the functions root, leftmost and rightmost on trees, which return the respective values for non-empty trees and are undefined otherwise.
- e) Prove or disprove the following theorems:
 - ullet t \neq Empty \longrightarrow last (inOrder t) = rightmost t
 - \bullet t \neq Empty \longrightarrow hd (inOrder t) = leftmost t
 - $t \neq Empty \longrightarrow hd$ (preOrder t) = last (postOrder t)
 - ullet t eq Empty \longrightarrow hd (preOrder t) = root t
 - t \neq Empty \longrightarrow hd (inOrder t) = root t
 - $t \neq Empty \longrightarrow last (postOrder t) = root t$
- f) Suppose that xOrder and yOrder are tree traversal functions chosen from preOrder, postOrder, and inOrder. Examine for which traversal functions the following formula holds:

```
xOrder (mirror xt) = rev (yOrder xt)
```

Exercise 4 A Simple Hilbert-Style Proof System (Optional)

This exercise is meant as additional training and to deepen your understanding of the calculus, proof systems, proof assistants and maybe most of all: **functional programming**. We won't spend much time on it in the exercises though, so you can consider it **optional**.

You can use whatever functional programming language you like. We used SML, but can easily give you support for Haskell, too. You can also do it in Isabelle/HOL itself, but to execute it you should use the code generation it offers.

We want to develop a system to represent and check proofs in the Hilbert-Calculus, like defined on Sheet 1. We use the following datatypes to represent formulas, proof states and proof commands:

```
datatype f =
                  (* formulas *)
   Var of string (* variables *)
  | Neg of f
                 (* negation *)
  | Imp of f * f (* implication *)
                  (* nicer syntax for implication *)
infix 3 -->
fun a --> b = Imp (a, b)
type proofState = f list (* Hilbert Calculus proof state *)
datatype proofCommand = (* command to modify the proof state *)
                       (* insert an instantiation of an axiom schema *)
   A1 of f * f
  | A2 of f * f * f
  | A3 of f * f
  | MP of int * int (* apply modus ponens to two elements of the proof state *)
type proof = proofCommand list
```

- a) Define a function applyCommand, which applies a proof command to a proof state and returns the new proof state. If the proof command is not applicable, the function should raise an exception.
- b) Define a function apply, which takes a list of assumptions (initial proof state) as well as a proof and returns the final proof state.